

JAN 18 2006

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DEVINA MARIE NO RUNNER,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 04-30227

D.C. No. CR-03-00077-SEH

MEMORANDUM^{*}

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Montana
Sam E. Haddon, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 9, 2006^{**}

Before: HUG, O'SCANNLAIN and SILVERMAN, Circuit Judges.

Devina Marie No Runner appeals from her guilty-plea conviction and sentence imposed for second degree murder, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2, 1111, 1153.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), counsel for No Runner has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, and a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. No Runner has not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

We have conducted an independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 83 (1988). We affirm the conviction. Because appellant was sentenced under the then-mandatory Sentencing Guidelines, and we cannot reliably determine from the record whether the sentence imposed would have been materially different had the district court known that the Guidelines were advisory, we remand to the sentencing court to answer that question, and to proceed pursuant to *United States v. Ameline*, 409 F.3d 1073, 1084 (9th Cir. 2005) (en banc). See *United States v. Moreno-Hernandez*, 419 F.3d 906, 916 (9th Cir. 2005) (extending *Ameline*'s limited remand procedure to cases involving non-constitutional error).

Counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel on appeal is denied.

The conviction is **AFFIRMED**, and the sentence is **REMANDED**.